

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE IR22US 1572 09/15/2000 Kia Silverbrook 09/662,668 EXAMINER 24011 7590 11/17/2004 HERNANDEZ, NELSON D SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT BALMAIN, 2041 2612 **AUSTRALIA**

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/662,668	SILVERBROOK, KIA	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Nelson D. Hernandez	2612	
D : 16	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
Period fo	• •			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commune period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wireply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ill, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>24 August 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b	o) This action is non-final.	•	
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
•		lication		
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
			•	
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2000</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received in	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies o	f the priority documents have been	n received in this National Stage	
	application from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachme	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P	.,	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/662,668

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 09/663,476. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 is a broader recitation of the same invention claimed in the amended application 09/663,476 received on August 25, 2004. The claims are substantially the same except that claim 1 in '476 includes that the ink supply means comprises an ink supply cartridge which defines a plurality of ink supply channels, each of which is in communication with the print head and each channel containing a different color ink in use for enabling full color printing to be effected has been replaced with "photodetector" in the application.
- 3. Claim 2 is substantially the same as in the '476 claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 09/662,668

Art Unit: 2612

4. Claim 3 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 3 of copending Application No. 09/663,476. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 3 in '476 refers to a casing being of a recyclable material and claim 3 in '668 refers to a casing that is recyclable. It is well known that a recyclable casing can be made with a recyclable material.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/662,668

Art Unit: 2612

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson D. Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-8717. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R. Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NDHH November 12, 2004 Nelson D. Hernandez

Examiner Art Unit 2612

WENDY PARENT EXAMINER
PERVISORY PARENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600